

# Annex A

## Précis of representations received during the consultation process

No.	Address	Comment	Officer response
1	Westminster Road	This proposal will not reduce the volume of traffic. The Water End scheme was ill thought out and not having closed Westminster Road has allowed traffic to divert through.	The proposal was not intended to tackle this issue. Comment noted.
2	Westminster Road	It has nothing to do with the original issue of increased through traffic. It will do nothing to address the problems created by the change. It is a public relations diversion aimed at pacifying some local residents. It is a waste of council funds.	The proposal was not intended to tackle this issue.  The proposal was not intended to tackle this issue. Comment noted.  There are longer term cost saving that this proposal will achieve for the authority.
3	The Avenue	It will not reduce the volume of traffic. Council funds will be wasted.  The signs could encourage more traffic flow. The existing speed bumps are effective at limiting speeds to 20mph. This is a token gesture to divert attention from the real issue.	The proposal was not intended to tackle this issue. There are longer term cost saving that this proposal will achieve for the authority. This is very unlikely.  Comment noted.  Comment noted.
4	Westminster Road	The issue is the volume of traffic not the speed. A 20mph zone is unnecessary as the humps keep most vehicles to that speed.	The proposal was not intended to tackle this issue. Comment noted.
5	Westminster Road	The volume of vehicles is the principal concern and this proposal is a waste of money.	The proposal was not intended to tackle this issue. There are longer term cost saving that this proposal will achieve for the authority.

6	Westminster Road	Speed is not the issue, it is the volume of traffic following the work on Water End. Enforcement is unlikely. Waste of funds.	The proposal was not intended to tackle this issue.  Comment noted. There are longer term cost saving that this proposal will achieve for the authority.
7	Ousecliffe Gardens	This is irrelevant to the problem of through traffic. The cost is a waste of money.	The proposal was not intended to tackle this issue. There are longer term cost saving that this proposal will achieve for the authority.
8	Westminster Road	In my opinion this is a pointless exercise. The volume of traffic is the problem and the root of the problem is the redesign of Water End. It is a waste of time.	Comment noted.  The proposal was not intended to tackle this issue.  There are longer term cost saving that this proposal will achieve for the authority.
9	The Avenue	The speed limit seems like a public relations exercise. Speed is not the issue and this is a waste of public funds. The real issue on these roads is the increased traffic flow resulting from the works on Water End.	Comment noted. The proposal was not intended to tackle the issue of through traffic and there are longer term cost saving that this proposal will achieve for the authority.
10	Westminster Road	This proposal will not solve the problem of through traffic.	The proposal was not intended to tackle this issue.
11	Westminster Road	The speed restriction is seriously off target: it does not address the volume of traffic problem created. The 20mph speed limit is a diversion of resources and attention from the problem.	The proposal was not intended to tackle this issue.  Comment noted.
12	Westminster Road	The problem is volume not speed of traffic.	The proposal was not intended to tackle this issue.
13	Westminster Road	The proposal will fail to impact on the problem of through traffic created by the ill planned Water End scheme. It is an inefficient use of public resources. This provides a "seen to be doing something" opportunity. The police have indicated that they do not have the resources to carry out enforcement.	The proposal was not intended to tackle the issue of through traffic and there are longer term cost saving that this proposal will achieve for the authority. Comment noted.  The police will not be expected to carry out enforcement.
14	Water End	Supports the proposal.	Noted.